



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

CRAIG *v.* CRAIG.

Jan. 13, 1916. Rehearing Denied Feb. 2, 1916.

[87 S. E. 731.]

Divorce (§§ 215, 227*)—Allowances—Reasonableness.—A decree in a wife's action for divorce, directing that the husband pay her \$75 each month for three months and that he pay her attorney \$150 on account of counsel fees and suit money, made reasonable allowances.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Divorce, Cent. Dig. §§ 632-634, 653, 654; Dec. Dig. §§ 215, 217.*]

Appeal from Law and Equity Court of City of Richmond.

Suit for divorce by Lottie H. Craig against J. Willard Craig. From a decree directing defendant to pay complainant \$75 on the 10th of April, May, and June, 1915, and \$150 on account of counsel fees and suit money, he appeals. Affirmed.

See, also, 115 Va. 764, 80 S. E. 507; 87 S. E. 727.

S. S. P. Patterson, of Richmond, for appellant.

Smith & Gordon, of Richmond, for appellee.

KEITH. P. In the case of Lottie H. Craig *v.* J. Willard Craig, 87 S. E. 727, which has just been disposed of, the law and equity court entered, on the 31st of March, 1915, a decree directing J. Willard Craig to pay to the complainant \$75 on the 10th of April, 1915, a like sum on the 10th of May, 1915, and a like sum on the 10th of June, 1915, and that he pay to Messrs. Smith & Gordon \$150 on account of counsel fees and suit money. To this decree an appeal was allowed J. Willard Craig.

The whole controversy between the parties has been so fully investigated in the case of Craig *v.* Craig, in which the husband was the appellant, reported in 115 Va. 764, 80 S. E. 507, and the opinion just delivered in the case of Craig *v.* Craig, 87 S. E. 727, in which Mrs. Craig was the appellant, that we do not deem it necessary to discuss the subject further than to say that the allowances made by the law and equity court are reasonable, and that the decree appealed from is affirmed.

Affirmed.

CARDWELL, J., absent.

*For other cases see same topic and KEY-NUMBER in all Key-Numbered Digests and Indexes.